Johannes Blömer Jan Bobolz, Gennadij Liske

Complexity Theory SS 2016

Homework 8

Exercise 1 (6 points):

Let $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ be a log space computable function. Show that f can be computed in polynomial time. Infer that any log space computable function has at most polynomial length output.

Exercise 2 (8 points):

Consider the language

 $SUMPAL := \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid a + b \text{ is a palindrome}\}.$

(a + b is considered the unique binary representation of a + b without leading zeros.)Show that $SUMPAL \in \mathbf{L}$.

Exercise 3 (10 points):

In the lecture, we use the notion of log space computability from [1] (over 3-tape Turing machines) in order to define log space reductions. In [2] they use an alternative approach: They define log space reductions over *implicitly log space computable functions*.

Namely, a function $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ is *implicitly log space computable* if it fulfills the following requirements:

1. f is polynomially bounded (i.e. there is a polynomial p such that $|f(x)| \le p(|x|)$ for all x).

2.
$$L_f = \{ \langle x, i \rangle \mid f(x)_i = 1 \} \in \mathbf{L}.$$

3. $L'_f = \{ \langle x, i \rangle \mid i \le |f(x)| \} \in \mathbf{L}.$

Here, $f(x)_i$ denotes the *i*'th bit of f(x).

- a) Show that the two definitions are equivalent, i.e. a function f is log space computable if and only if it is *implicitly* log space computable.
- b) Show that requirement 1 is necessary for the equivalence. For this, give an example of a function f that is not log space computable but that fulfills requirements 2 and 3, i.e. $L_f, L'_f \in \mathbf{L}$.

Literatur

- [1] Michael Sipser, Introduction to the Theory of Computation, 2nd edition, 2006
- [2] Sanjeev Arora and Boaz Barak, *Computational Complexity A Modern Approach*, 2009, Draft available online: http://theory.cs.princeton.edu/complexity/