## Kapitel 3: Dynamic Programming

## Algorithmic Paradigms

Greedy. Build up a solution incrementally, myopically optimizing some local criterion.

Divide-and-conquer. Break up a problem into two sub-problems, solve each sub-problem independently, and combine solution to sub-problems to form solution to original problem.

Dynamic programming. Break up a problem into a series of overlapping sub-problems, and build up solutions to larger and larger sub-problems.

## Dynamic Programming History

Bellman. [1950s] Pioneered the systematic study of dynamic programming.

Etymology.

- Dynamic programming = planning over time.
- Secretary of Defense was hostile to mathematical research.
- Bellman sought an impressive name to avoid confrontation.
"it's impossible to use dynamic in a pejorative sense"
"something not even a Congressman could object to"

Reference: Bellman, R. E. Eye of the Hurricane, An Autobiography.

## Dynamic Programming Applications

## Areas.

- Bioinformatics.
- Control theory.
- Information theory.
- Operations research.
- Computer science: theory, graphics, AI, systems, ....

Some famous dynamic programming algorithms.
. Viterbi for hidden Markov models.

- Unix diff for comparing two files.
- Smith-Waterman for sequence alignment.
- Bellman-Ford for shortest path routing in networks.
- Cocke-Kasami-Younger for parsing context free grammars.
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## Weighted Interval Scheduling

Weighted interval scheduling problem.

- Job $j$ starts at $s_{j}$, finishes at $f_{j}$, and has weight or value $v_{j}$.
- Two jobs compatible if they don' $\dagger$ overlap.
- Goal: find maximum weight subset of mutually compatible jobs.



## Unweighted Interval Scheduling Review

Recall. Greedy algorithm works if all weights are 1.

- Consider jobs in ascending order of finish time.
- Add job to subset if it is compatible with previously chosen jobs.

Observation. Greedy algorithm can fail spectacularly if arbitrary weights are allowed.


## Weighted Interval Scheduling

Notation. Label jobs by finishing time: $f_{1} \leq f_{2} \leq \ldots \leq f_{n}$. Def. $p(j)=$ largest index $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j}$ such that job i is compatible with j .

Ex: $p(8)=5, p(7)=3, p(2)=0$.


## Dynamic Programming: Binary Choice

Notation. OPT(j) = value of optimal solution to the problem consisting of job requests $1,2, \ldots, j$.

- Case 1: OPT selects job j.
- can't use incompatible jobs $\{p(j)+1, p(j)+2, \ldots, j-1\}$
- must include optimal solution to problem consisting of remaining compatible jobs $1,2, \ldots, p(j)$
- Case 2: OPT does not select job j.
- must include optimal solution to problem consisting of remaining compatible jobs 1, 2, ..., j-1

$$
\operatorname{OPT}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } \mathrm{j}=0 \\
\max \left\{v_{j}+\operatorname{OPT}(p(j)),\right. & O P T(j-1)\} \\
\text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Weighted Interval Scheduling: Brute Force

Brute force algorithm.

```
Input: n, s
Sort jobs by finish times so that f}\mp@subsup{f}{1}{}\leq\mp@subsup{f}{2}{}\leq\ldots\leq\mp@subsup{f}{n}{}
Compute p(1), p(2), ... p(n)
Compute-Opt(j) {
    if (j = 0)
        return 0
    else
        return max(vj + Compute-Opt(p(j)), Compute-Opt(j-1))
}
```


## Weighted Interval Scheduling: Brute Force

Observation. Recursive algorithm fails spectacularly because of redundant sub-problems $\Rightarrow$ exponential algorithms.

Ex. Number of recursive calls for family of "layered" instances grows like Fibonacci sequence.


## Weighted Interval Scheduling: Memoization

Memoization. Store results of each sub-problem in a cache; lookup as needed.

```
Input: n, s
Sort jobs by finish times so that fr m fr m _.. \leq frn.
Compute p(1), p(2), ..., p(n)
for j = 1 to n
    M[j] = empty \leftarrow globalarray
M[0] = 0
M-Compute-Opt(j) {
    if (M[j] is empty)
        M[j] = max(vj + M-Compute-Opt(p(j)), M-Compute-Opt(j-1))
    return M[j]
}
```

Weighted Interval Scheduling: Running Time

Claim. Memoized version of algorithm takes $O(n \log n)$ time.

- Sort by finish time: $O(n \log n)$.
- Computing $\mathrm{p}(\cdot): O(n)$ after sorting by start time.
- m-Compute-Opt ( $j$ ): each invocation takes $O(1)$ time and either
- (i) returns an existing value $m[j]$
- (ii) fills in one new entry $\mathrm{m}[j]$ and makes two recursive calls
- Progress measure $\Phi=\#$ nonempty entries of m[].
- initially $\Phi=0$, throughout $\Phi \leq n$.
- (ii) increases $\Phi$ by $1 \Rightarrow$ at most $2 n$ recursive calls.
- Overall running time of m-Compute-Opt (n) is $O(n)$. .

Remark. $O(n)$ if jobs are pre-sorted by start and finish times.

## Weighted Interval Scheduling: Finding a Solution

Q. Dynamic programming algorithms computes optimal value. What if we want the solution itself?
A. Do some post-processing.

```
Run M-Compute-Opt(n)
Run Find-Solution(n)
Find-Solution(j) {
    if (j = 0)
        output nothing
        else if (vj + M[p(j)] > M[j-1])
        print j
        Find-Solution(p(j))
        else
            Find-Solution(j-1)
}
```

- \# of recursive calls $\leq \mathrm{n} \Rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$.


## Weighted Interval Scheduling: Bottom-Up

Bottom-up dynamic programming. Unwind recursion.

```
Input: n, si,\ldots,\mp@code{S},\mp@subsup{\mathbf{f}}{1}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{\mathbf{f}}{\textrm{n}}{},\mp@subsup{\textrm{v}}{1}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{\mathbf{v}}{\textrm{n}}{}
Sort jobs by finish times so that f}\mp@subsup{f}{1}{}\leq\mp@subsup{f}{2}{}\leq\ldots\leq\mp@subsup{f}{n}{}
Compute p(1), p(2), ..., p(n)
Iterative-Compute-Opt {
    M[0] = 0
    for j = 1 to n
        M[j] = max(vij +M[p(j)], M[j-1])
}
```
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## Segmented Least Squares

Least squares.

- Foundational problem in statistic and numerical analysis.
- Given $n$ points in the plane: $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$.
- Find a line $y=a x+b$ that minimizes the sum of the squared error:

$$
S S E=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a x_{i}-b\right)^{2}
$$



Solution. Calculus $\Rightarrow$ min error is achieved when

$$
a=\frac{n \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}-\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i} y_{i}\right)}{n \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}}, \quad b=\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}-a \sum_{i} x_{i}}{n}
$$

## Segmented Least Squares

Segmented least squares.

- Points lie roughly on a sequence of several line segments.
- Given $n$ points in the plane $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ with
- $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{n}$, find a sequence of lines that minimizes $f(x)$.
Q. What's a reasonable choice for $f(x)$ to balance accuracy and parsimony?
goodness of fit



## Segmented Least Squares

Segmented least squares.

- Points lie roughly on a sequence of several line segments.
- Given $n$ points in the plane $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ with
- $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{n}$, find a sequence of lines that minimizes:
- the sum of the sums of the squared errors $E$ in each segment
- the number of lines $L$
- Tradeoff function: $E+c L$, for some constant $c>0$.



## Dynamic Programming: Multiway Choice

Notation.

- $\operatorname{OPT}(j)=$ minimum cost for points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j}$.
- $e(i, j)=$ minimum sum of squares for points $p_{i}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_{j}$.

To compute OPT(j):

- Last segment uses points $p_{i}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_{j}$ for some $i$.
- Cost $=e(i, j)+c+\operatorname{OPT}(i-1)$.

$$
\operatorname{OPT}(j)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \mathrm{j}=0 \\ \min _{1 \leq i \leq j}\{e(i, j)+c+O P T(i-1)\} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Segmented Least Squares: Algorithm

```
INPUT: n, p
Segmented-Least-Squares() {
    M[0] = 0
    for j = 1 to n
        for i = 1 to j
            compute the least square error ( }\mp@subsup{e}{ij}{}\mathrm{ for
            the segment }\mp@subsup{p}{i}{},\ldots,\mp@subsup{P}{j}{
    for j = 1 to n
        M[j] = min}1\leqi\leqj( (eij + c + M[i-1]) 
    return M[n]
}
```

Running time. $O\left(n^{3}\right)$. can be improved to $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ by pre-computing various statistics

- Bottleneck = computing $e(i, j)$ for $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ pairs, $O(n)$ per pair using previous formula.

