

## Complexity Theory

SS 2016

Class Handout 7

### Exercise 1:

Show that for any *bounded* polynomial time computable function  $H : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , it holds that  $SAT_H \in \mathbf{NPC}$ .

### Exercise 2:

- Argue that all regular languages are in  $\mathbf{L}$ .
- Consider the following grammar  $G = (T, N, P, S)$  for correctly nested parentheses:  
 $T = \{(, )\}$ ,  $N = \{S\}$  and

$$P = \{S ::= ( S ), S ::= S S, S ::= \epsilon\}.$$

Show that  $L(G) \in \mathbf{L}$ .

### Exercise 3:

For two Boolean formulas  $\phi, \phi'$  over variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , we write  $\phi \approx \phi'$  if they are semantically equivalent, i.e.  $\forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n : \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \phi'(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . The *length*  $|\phi|$  of a formula  $\phi$  is the number of Boolean operators in  $\phi$ .  $\phi$  is *minimal* if no equivalent formula is shorter, i.e. for all  $\phi'$  with  $\phi' \approx \phi$  it holds that  $|\phi'| \geq |\phi|$ .

We define

$$\mathbf{MINBOOL} := \{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is a minimal Boolean formula}\}.$$

- Why is the following argument for the claim that  $\mathbf{MINBOOL} \in \mathbf{co-NP}$  not convincing?  
*We show that  $\overline{\mathbf{MINBOOL}} \in \mathbf{NP}$ .  $\overline{\mathbf{MINBOOL}}$  is (essentially) the language of Boolean formulas  $\phi$  for which a shorter equivalent formula exists. Given a formula  $\phi$ , an NTM can nondeterministically guess a formula  $\phi'$  of size  $k < |\phi|$ . If  $\phi'$  is equivalent to  $\phi$ , we accept (otherwise, we reject). Hence  $\phi$  is accepted if and only if  $\phi \in \overline{\mathbf{MINBOOL}}$ .*
- Show that if  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$  then  $\mathbf{MINBOOL} \in \mathbf{P}$ .